

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF LETHBRIDGE HELD AUGUST 15, 2013 IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA.

PRESENT:

Division No. 1	- L. Hickey, Reeve
Division No. 3	- H. Doeve, Deputy Reeve
Division No. 2	- J. Willms
Division No. 4	- K. Benson
Division No. 5	- S. Campbell
Division No. 6	- T. White
Division No. 7	- M. Zeinstra

ADMINISTRATION PRESENT:

County Manager	- D. Shigematsu
Acting Director of Corporate Services	- T. Anderson
Acting Director of Municipal Services	- B. Schulz
Supervisor of Municipal Services	- D. Bodnar
Recording Secretary	- D. Irwin

REEVE LORNE HICKEY IN THE CHAIR

CALL TO ORDER Reeve Hickey called the meeting to order at 9:08 a.m.

Note: K. Bly, Sunny South News present at 9:08 a.m.

AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Dennis Shigematsu, County Manager and members of Council made the following additions and deletions to the August 15, 2013 agenda.

- F3. Agricultural Fieldman - Agriculture Service Board Act – K. Benson

343/13 J. WILLMS MOVED that Council approve the agenda as amended.
CARRIED

CONFIRMATION B1. Confirmation of Minutes

344/13 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that the August 1, 2013 Council Minutes be approved as presented.
CARRIED

APPOINTMENTS E1. Jack Hayden, Hayden & Associates Re: 60 Km. Roundtable Session – Report Presentation

Reeve Hickey welcomed Mr. Jack Hayden, Hayden & Associates to the meeting at 9:15 a.m.

Mr. Hayden presented to Council his final report for the 60 km. speed limit.

345/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that Council accept the Rural Haul Road Study Report prepared by Jack Hayden, Hayden & Associates for information.
CARRIED

Reeve

County Manager

- 346/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that Council direct Administration to create a Request for Proposal (RFP) for a business case to raising haul road standards to a 'no ban' level; the RFP is to be completed no later than September 30, 2013 and brought back to the October 3, 2013 Council meeting for Council to review the RFP content criteria before being circulated to engineering firms. CARRIED
- 347/13 H. DOEVE MOVED that Council direct Administration to develop an education component regarding infrastructure including a poster highlighting the haul routes specifically for haulers to be distributed in agricultural districts and specific businesses and an electronic and physical map identifying the haul routes for further distribution and supplementary information. CARRIED

E2. S.D. Application #2013-0-068 - Royal Trailer Corp. - Lots 2 & 6, Block 3, Plan 0814696 within NE 1/4 1-9-21-W4

- 348/13 H. DOEVE MOVED that the industrial subdivision of Lots 2 & 6, Block 3, Plan 0814696 within NE1/4 1-9-21-W4M (Certificate of Title No. 121 022 269+1, 121 022 269+5), to resubdivide two existing titles, 3.3 and 2.98 acres (1.335 and 1.205 ha) respectively in size, and create five parcels, two at 1.49 acres (0.64 ha), one at 1.29 acres (0.52 ha) and two at 1.00 acres (0.41 ha), all for rural industrial use; BE APPROVED subject to the following:
- CONDITIONS:**
1. That, pursuant to Section 654(1)(d) of the Municipal Government Act, all outstanding property taxes shall be paid to the County of Lethbridge.
 2. That, pursuant to Section 655(1)(b) of the Municipal Government Act, the applicant or owner or both enter into a Development Agreement with the County of Lethbridge which shall be registered concurrently with the final plan against the title(s) being created. This agreement may include any additions or amendments to the existing servicing and infrastructure that is necessary to accommodate the servicing of the subdivisions undertaken by the applicant since the applicant's acquisition of the Broxburn Business Park.
- REASONS:**
1. The County of Lethbridge has recalculated the water and wastewater allocations to accommodate the subdivision and the Subdivision Authority is satisfied that the lots can be adequately serviced. As such, the proposed subdivision is suitable for the purpose for which the subdivision is intended pursuant to Section 7 of the Subdivision and Development Regulation.
 2. The Subdivision Approval Authority has determined the proposed subdivision meets the criteria for Grouped Rural Industrial use and conforms to the Broxburn Business Park area structure plan approved for the land.
 3. The proposed subdivision complies with the municipal Land Use Bylaw. CARRIED

E3. Subdivision Application #2013-0-076 – Harvey & Esther Vandebrink – N.E. ¼ 35-9-22-W4

- 349/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that the country residential subdivision of NE1/4 35-9-22-W4M (Certificate of Title No. 951 269 367), subdivide a title of 65.83 acres (26.636 ha) and create three parcels, 19.82, 19.83 and 25.10 acres (8.023, 8.025, and 10.157 ha) respectively in size, for country residential use; BE REFUSED for the following reasons:

Reeve

County Manager

REASONS:

1. The quarter section has been previously subdivided as three titles presently exist (as there is a separate 48.77 acre cut-off title on the south side of the highway, there is a separate 29.09 acre title to the east (a former CFO site), and there is this 65.83 acre title subject of the application). This proposal would result in there being five separate subdivisions out of the quarter section which does not conform to the County's subdivision policies for land designated as Rural Agriculture - RA.
2. As the existing title is an agricultural parcel of 65.83 acres, the proposed subdivision application also does not conform to the County's subdivision criteria of Land Use Bylaw No. 1211 as being eligible to be re-split as a parcel of poor quality land that is 20 acres or less in size.
3. Alberta Transportation deferred a waiver of Sections 14 and 15(2) of the Subdivision and Development Regulation (Alberta Regulation 43/2002) until acceptance of an access management strategy required by the department has been finalized. The Subdivision Authority may not approve an application for subdivision if the land is within 0.8 kilometres of the centre line of a highway where the posted speed is 80 kilometres per hour or greater unless the waiver is granted. Additionally, although at some future point Alberta Transportation may require an area of land to accommodate the realignment of Highway 25 to account for the interchange with the Canamex, at this point in time this area has not yet been officially purchased by Alberta Transportation. This proposal is considered as premature at this point in time. CARRIED

E4. Subdivision Application #2013-0-096 – Glenn & Gloria Brouwer – N.E. 1/4 8-10-21-W4

- 350/13 M. ZEINTRA MOVED that the agricultural/country residential subdivision of NE1/4 8-10-21-W4M (Certificate of Title No. 901 190 661+1, 081 174 251+1), to resubdivide a title of 13.22 acres (5.35 ha) into two titles, being 1.76 and 1.59 acres respectively in size for country residential use and consolidate the remainder into the adjacent 126.59 acre parcel; BE APPROVED subject to the following:
- RESERVE:** The 10% reserve requirement, pursuant to Sections 666 and 667 of the Municipal Government Act, be provided as money in place of land on the 3.35 acres at the market value of \$16,000.00 per acre with the actual acreage and amount to be paid to the County of Lethbridge be determined at the final stage, for Municipal Reserve Purposes.
- CONDITIONS:**
1. That, pursuant to Section 654(1)(d) of the Municipal Government Act, all outstanding property taxes shall be paid to the County of Lethbridge.
 2. That, pursuant to Section 655(1)(b) of the Municipal Government Act, the applicant or owner or both enter into a Development Agreement with the County of Lethbridge which shall be registered concurrently with the final plan against the title(s) being created.
 3. That the easement(s) as required by FortisAlberta Inc. shall be established prior to finalization of the application.
 4. That the final plan shall dedicate 0.06 acres of land to the County of Lethbridge for a wider turn-around area on the north municipal road allowance.

Reeve

County Manager

5. That the remainder of title in the NE 8-10-21-W4 (Title No. 901190661+1) containing the 9.81 acres of coulee land, be consolidated by a plan prepared by an Alberta Land Surveyor with Lot 2, Block 1, Plan 0812399 so that the resulting title cannot be further subdivided without approval of the Subdivision Authority.

6. That the applicant shall provide a restrictive covenant to ensure the engineer's geotechnical safe setback recommendations to the adjacent coulee slope are strictly followed by lot owners.

REASONS:

1. With the geotechnical report and soils analysis prepared for the proposal, the Subdivision Authority is satisfied that the proposed subdivision is suitable for the purpose for which the subdivision is intended pursuant to Section 7 of the Subdivision and Development Regulation.

2. The Subdivision Approval Authority of the County of Lethbridge has determined the proposed subdivision complies with the bylaw definition of poor quality of land, being 20 acres or less in size, and is eligible for subdivision consideration.

3. The proposed subdivision complies with both the Municipal Development Plan and Land Use Bylaw. CARRIED

351/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED the meeting recess for lunch at 11:56 a.m. CARRIED

The meeting reconvened at 1:33 p.m. with all members of Council present as previously stated.

352/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED to bring item E6. Lou Visser, Community Education Coordinator and Ralph Oldenburger, - County of Lethbridge Community Learning Council Presentation back to the table. CARRIED

APPOINTMENTS E6. Ms. Lou Visser, Community Education Coordinator; Mr. Ralph Oldenburger and Ms. Melanie Patenaude - County of Lethbridge Community Learning Council Presentation

Reeve Hickey welcomed Ms. Lou Visser, Community Education Coordinator, Ms. Melanie Patenaude and Mr. Ralph Oldenburger of the County of Lethbridge Community Learning Council to the meeting at 1:33 p.m.

Dennis Shigematsu, County Manager noted that the County of Lethbridge Community Learning Council presented a funding request to Council at their June 20, 2013 Council meeting. Council had additional queries regarding their funding requests and tabled the item to the August 15, 2013 Council meeting.

Ms. Visser and Mr. Oldenburger provided Council with a presentation concerning the breakdown of costs for the printing of brochures.

353/13 T. WHITE MOVED that Council approve funding to the County of Lethbridge Community Learning Council in the amount of \$8,787, which represents the cost of brochure printing for the 2013/2014 fall and spring classes; funds to be utilized from Council's Discretionary Reserve account.

Reeve Hickey thanked the delegation for the presentation, the delegation retired at 1:57 p.m.

Reeve

County Manager

MUNICIPAL SERVICES**F1. Prairie Tractor and Engine Museum Re: Gravel Request**

354/13 J. WILLMS MOVED that County Council approve the gravel donation request from the Prairie Tractor and Engine Museum up to a maximum of 100 cubic metres and that funds be utilized from Council's Discretionary Reserve account. CARRIED

F2. Town of Picture Butte Re: Regional Wastewater Project

355/13 J. WILLMS MOVED that Council support the Town of Picture Butte's request to support in principle discussions with Provincial officials to explore possible funding options or possibilities for a regional wastewater pipeline project under various Provincial grant programs. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey recessed the meeting at 2:04 p.m. to proceed with the Public Hearing for By-Law #1398 Road Closure, Sale and Consolidations – All that Portion of Road within Block 16, Plan 9811820 – Tom & Irma White.

Note: Councillor Tom White excused himself from the meeting due to a pecuniary interest at 2:04 p.m.

APPOINTMENTS**E7. PUBLIC HEARING for By-Law #1398 Road Closure, Sale and Consolidations – All that Portion of Road within Block 16, Plan 9811820 – Tom & Irma White**

356/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that the Public Hearing for By-law #1398 Road Closure, Sale and Consolidations – All that Portion of Road within Block 16, Plan 9811820 – Tom & Irma White open at 2:04 p.m. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey welcomed the delegation to the meeting. The delegation consisted of:

T. Groves D. Groves D. McIntosh W. Halma

Reeve Hickey declared the public hearing is being held pursuant to the Municipal Government Act as amended for By-Law 1398.

Reeve Hickey invited Ms. Hilary Janzen, Senior Planner/Development Officer to give an overview of the application.

Ms. Janzen stated the following:

- First Reading of By-Law 1398 was approved by County Council on June 6, 2013.
- The Subdivision Authority approved subdivision 2012-0-221 January 16, 2012. As part of this subdivision, it was required that the applicant either enter into a Development Agreement with the County of Lethbridge to construct the northern 160 feet of Cadillac Street to provide access to the subdivided parcel or that the applicant enter into an agreement with the County to close the northern 160 feet of Cadillac Street and consolidate it into the parcel being created in accordance with the County's policies. The applicant chose to close and purchase that portion of Cadillac Street and consolidate it with the subdivided parcel.
- A sanitary line runs through the southern portion of the road closure area and a utility right-of-way will have to be created for that line and registered on the titled area.

Reeve

County Manager

- Notices of the proposed road closure and sale were sent out to the utility companies by Brown Okamura and Associates on July 19, 2013. The by-law was also advertised in the July 30 and August 6 editions of the Sunny South News.
- The adjoining landowner is opposed with the proposed road closure, the letters received from the opposing landowner are attached in the agenda.

Reeve Hickey asked if Council had any questions of Ms. Janzen.

Council enquired during subdivision if there was still access to the back parcel?

Ms. Janzen replied that there would still be access.

Council enquired if it was possible to lay gravel down for access and if so, does it have to be built to standard?

Ms. Janzen replied that it would have to comply with Municipal Engineering Standards.

Council enquired if access could be a driveway and if so, what is that process?

Ms. Janzen stated that a driveway is 6 metres wide. Today's proceedings are the public hearing for Road Closure only. Alberta Transportation will receive the minutes from the meeting and, if Council wants to move forward with the Road Closure, they would have to explain why to Alberta Transportation - in spite of objections received at today's public hearing.

Council enquired if the parcel gets automatically amalgamated with the subdivided area to the north if it is approved.

Ms. Janzen replied that it would be amalgamated with the subdivided parcel that is in place.

Ms. Janzen stated that there was a previous subdivision to the west and as part of that, the areas to the north would also create a subdivision but a subdivision to the north never occurred. There would be no more residential lots in that area due to the proximity to the Shaughnessy wastewater lagoons.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone present wished to speak in opposition of By-Law 1398.

Trevor Groves addressed Council and read from his emails dated August 1st and 7th, 2013. Mr. Groves stated:

- I was displeased to learn of the road allowance between my property and the applicant's property being re-zoned and re-purposed for sale and or development.
- I use this road allowance for entry to my rear yard and have maintained, weeded, mowed and seeded and view this road allowance for the past 12 years.
- I purchased my parcel of land with the agreement that there would be a road allowance in "FRONT" of my house and oriented and built my house and garage plans to face this "road", this was also with the expectation it would be paved and have a sidewalk. None of this happened, even when the town was doing sidewalks.
- The County assured me that the road allowance has been broken out as part of my blocks subdivision when it was created as by-law requirement.
- Now twelve years later, the applicant has an idea, and I lose my front yard road access? No way.

Reeve

County Manager

- I originally purchased my (corner) Lot 10, Block 16, Plan 9811820, shortly after the applicant subdivided and offered this lot for sale. At the time of purchase he assured me that the parcel immediate east was a dedicated road parcel. This is now a matter of By-Law 1398 (discussion of consideration for possible road closure). The applicant resides next to this parcel to the east. The applicant also owns (and I understand is considering) developing his large parcel to the north. I confirm that up until this public notice, I was not informed as to the formal discussion of the road, being my frontage, being closed.
- I have designed and constructed my residence, taking full advantage of the view, access, and orientation, it affords in its' 'as-is' status. I have since my original ownership and design of this property, planned to construct a garage-shop in the rear yard, behind my existing residence, and have installed sewer and water connections for this future development.
- I have witnessed on several occasions that the storm water drainage flows over this road parcel from the presently configured and constructed (set out elevations) of the existing streets (Cadillac & 3rd Street) to the lowered area to the north of my parcel. Road closure would necessitate Storm drainage being considered.
- Over the past 12 years, grass fires have occurred on the adjoining vacant lands to the north.
- On one occasion these fires ignited my back fence and thankfully I was home and able to deal with it with my garden hose and efforts of the County fire department. The existing road was used for fire-fighting access. I hope this past situation is never frustrated again.
- I currently (and plan to continue) to also use the north east corner of my lot as a 20' X 30' fenced storage location for my boat and utility trailer (or other like uses). Presently I have access there-to guaranteed by the road parcel status, I do not wish to see this changed.
- Further development of this current road parcel to accommodate access to the larger parcel to the north is accepted but a merge of this parcel is not acceptable. By considering a merge of this title to the title to the north, in my opinion, it sets forth a (66' X 150') incursion of possible commercial use and zoning sandwiched between the existing homogenous single family parcels and area. For access there-to the existing road designation works for all parties surrounding.
- I purchased, developed and enjoy the parcel discussed as By-Law 1398 as it currently exists as a designated road. The applicant is applying to have this parcel merged? The status quo serves all parties adjoining, including the parcel to the north with access, and I see no reason to change the current approved road status.

Reeve Hickey thanked Mr. Groves for speaking.

Council had no questions for Mr. Groves.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone wished to speak in favour of By-Law 1398.

Mr. William Halma, Halma & Associates addressed Council and stated the following:

- I am the surveyor for this subdivision.
- I am familiar with this area and have done many subdivisions here over the past 20 to 30 years.
- The reason for the road plan, created in 1998 along with the residential parcels to the west, was to accommodate future subdivision of residential lots to the north.

Reeve

County Manager

- The area to the north owned by the applicant was approved earlier this year for subdivision and is in the Rural Urban Fringe District.
- Subdivision gives the landowner the option to close the road at their expense.
- The landowners did opt to close the road.
- Access can be obtained through 3rd Street and Cadillac Street.
- Access through easement is an option and could be determined on a pro-rated basis, in other words, the road closure could be split.

Council asked Mr. Groves if that would make both parties happy.

At this time, Ms. Janzen stated that driveway access is 6 metres and that the driveway access would fall under the Rural Urban Fringe District if consolidated with the subdivided parcel to the north.

Council enquired if they would have to close this public hearing and revisit this to see if the two parties are interested in the easement option as this is the first we are hearing of this option.

Steve Harty, Senior Planner, Oldman River Regional Services Commission stated that in 1998 the plan for this road was created and at that time, it was thought that by creating a block it would allow developers to provide access to enable access for future subdivisions - even though it is not developed at present. Future subdivisions will not happen due to the provincial setback required to the hamlet's wastewater lagoons. Condition on the subdivision is an 'or', for legal access. Kevin Viergutz, Director of Municipal Services (who is not present today) had stated previously that this is a road to nowhere and the best option is to close it since the County would be responsible to maintain a developed municipal road for just one subdivision. At that time Mr. Viergutz did not realize that the adjacent (Groves') house was built facing the road right-of-way.

Council enquired if the road could be split and allow Mr. Groves to access his yard.

Mr. Harty stated that there would be no future development to the north and if Council wishes to explore the option of splitting the road closure area Council has the option to recess the Public Hearing and come back. Council can table it, since the road splitting option is being discussed in the public hearing portion, and bring it back once the applicant and neighbor have an opportunity to discuss it.

Council enquired, based on the new information received today, if the Public Hearing could be recessed.

Mr. Harty replied that the road closure is going to have to match the purpose and description of the by-law, so Council could table it. The by-law would then go to the Minister with all comments from today's public hearing and the information from the reopened public hearing. The Minister would then make a decision based on comments gathered at the public hearing.

Reeve Hickey asked three times if there were any further presentations regarding By-Law 1398.

No one came forward.

Council stated that if the public hearing is recessed the two parties involved could meet and potentially come to an agreement regarding the splitting of the road between the two adjacent parcels.

Reeve

County Manager

357/13 H. DOEVE MOVED to recess the Public Hearing for By-Law #1398 Road Closure, Sale and Consolidations – All that Portion of Road within Block 16, Plan 9811820 – Tom & Irma White. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey thanked the delegation for attending the meeting. The delegation retired at 2:35 p.m.

Note: Councillor Tom White present at 2:35 p.m.

APPOINTMENTS **E5. Subdivision Application #2013-0-099 – Carmichael Custom Company Inc. – Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311166 within N.E. ¼ 23-9-20-W4**

358/13 H. DOEVE MOVED that the country residential subdivision of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311166 within NE1/4 23-9-20-W4M (Certificate of Title No. 131 097 572), to split a title of 30.0 acres (12.14 ha) into two titles, being 3.88 acres (1.57 ha) and 26.12 acres (10.57 ha) respectively in size, for country residential use; **BE APPROVED subject to the following:**

RESERVE: The payment of the applicable 10% Municipal Reserve on the 3.88 acres in the amount of \$10,000.00 per acre with the actual amount to be determined at the final stage for Municipal Reserve purposes.

AND that on the 26.12 acres the applicable 10% Municipal Reserve be deferred by caveat on title.

CONDITIONS:

1. That, pursuant to Section 654(1)(d) of the Municipal Government Act, all outstanding property taxes shall be paid to the County of Lethbridge.

2. That, pursuant to Section 655(1)(b) of the Municipal Government Act, the applicant or owner or both enter into a Development Agreement with the County of Lethbridge which shall be registered concurrently with the final plan against the title(s) being created.

3. That the moveable accessory shed (3.74 x 7.08m in size) located just north of the trees on the 26.12 acre residual title must be removed or relocated to meet the bylaw's 6.1m side yard setback, prior to final endorsement. Verification that the shed has been removed/relocated must be provided by the applicant to the satisfaction of the municipality.

REASONS:

1. With a waiver for the applicability of the minimum distance separation (MDS), this proposal otherwise meets the criteria of the County of Lethbridge Land Use Bylaw in regards to the subdivision of existing small titles containing less than 20 acres of farmable land.

2. The Subdivision Authority has granted a waiver of the application of the MDS as the dwelling meets the MDS strictly to the dairy barn, and prior to the 1995 expansion, the dwelling exceeded the MDS. The dwelling was also in existence prior to the expansion permit for the neighboring confined feeding operation, as the dwelling has existed at this location since the late 1960's.

3. No objections have been received from adjacent landowners or referral agencies with respect to the proposal.

MOTION DEFEATED

359/13 H. DOEVE MOVED that the country residential subdivision of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311166 within NE1/4 23-9-20-W4M (Certificate of Title No. 131 097 572), split a title of 30.0 acres (12.14 ha) into two titles, being 3.88 acres (1.57 ha) and 26.12 acres (10.57 ha)

Reeve

County Manager

respectively in size, for country residential use; BE REFUSED for the following reasons:

REASONS:

1. There is a confined feeding operation (CFO) located to the northeast, with a mixed permit for 600 dairy and 1,000 beef feeders. A minimum distance separation (MDS) of 562 metres would be required, and it is determined that 542 metres exists from the corner of the dwelling to the closest cattle pens. The Subdivision Authority is not prepared to grant a waiver of the application of the MDS as the neighboring confined feeding operation is still an active operation.

2. An approval of the subdivision would result in the existing shop building (14.72 x 19.69m in size) not meeting the bylaw's 6.1m required side yard setback, as it is located 5.65m from the new property line, and this will mean the property will become non-compliant with the Land Use Bylaw.

3. The quarter section has been previously subdivided and the existing 30.0 acre title was created approximately one-year ago as a result of a property line adjustment and consolidation of land between two adjacent titles, thereby reducing the title to its present 30.0 acre size. Prior to this, the title which contained the dwelling and yard subject to the subdivision application would not have met the criteria to be considered as a parcel of poor quality land that is 20 acres or less in size. This proposal would result in there being four separate subdivisions out of the quarter section which does not conform to the County's subdivision policies for land designated as Rural Agriculture – RA.

CARRIED

MUNICIPAL SERVICES

F3. Agricultural Fieldman under the Ag. Service Board Act – K. Benson

Councillor Ken Benson enquired who is authorized to appoint the Agricultural Fieldman under the Agriculture Service Board Act.

Mr. Don Bodnar, Supervisor of Municipal Services stated that the appointment of the Agricultural Fieldman, Weed and Soil Conservation Officer is scheduled to be on the September 5th Council agenda and that Council appoints the position, based on the Agricultural Service Board Act.

360/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED to receive item F3. Agricultural Fieldman under the Agriculture Service Board Act – K. Benson for information.
CARRIED

CORPORATE SERVICES

H1. WCB Surplus Distribution

361/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that Council approves the transfer of \$4,187.21 for the 2012 WCB Surplus Distribution to the Safety Reserve account.
CARRIED

ADMINISTRATION

I1. Palliser Regional Schools / County of Lethbridge – 2013 Joint Election Agreement

362/13 J. WILLMS MOVED that County Council approve the 2013 Joint Election Agreement between Palliser Regional Schools and the County of Lethbridge.
CARRIED

Reeve

County Manager

12. Palliser Regional Schools Re: “Think of Us on the Bus” Funding Drive

- 363/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that Council approve the amount of \$5,000 in support of the Palliser Regional Schools “Think of Us on the Bus” Campaign; funds to be utilized from Council’s Discretionary Reserve account. CARRIED

13. Green Prairie International – 25th Anniversary – September 7, 2013, Lethbridge Facility

- 364/13 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that any member of Council wishing to attend the Green Prairie International 25th Anniversary Celebration scheduled for September 7, 2013 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. at the Lethbridge Facility do so at their own expense. CARRIED

14. Blood Tribe Police Services Re: 2nd Annual Regimental Ball & Charity Auction – September 20, 2013, Coast Hotel

- 365/13 J. WILLMS MOVED that Council authorize the Reeve or his designate to attend the Blood Tribe Police Services 2nd Annual Regimental Ball and Charity Auction scheduled for September 20, 2013 at the Coast Hotel. CARRIED

15. Oldman River Regional Services Commission – Request for Resolution for Official Membership (Municipal Affairs)

- 366/13 T. WHITE MOVED BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve the County of Lethbridge to be a member of the Oldman River Regional Services Commission (ORRSC), and to be added to the Oldman River Regional Services Commission Bylaw as a municipal member; AND THAT the Minister of Municipal Affairs be notified of this approval and be requested to amend the Oldman River Regional Services Commission Regulation accordingly. CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT

- 367/13 K. BENSON MOVED the meeting adjourn at 3:25 p.m. CARRIED

 Reeve

 County Manager