

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF LETHBRIDGE COUNTY HELD MARCH 3, 2016 IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA.

PRESENT:

Division No. 1	- L. Hickey, Reeve
Division No. 3	- H. Doeve, Deputy Reeve
Division No. 2	- J. Willms
Division No. 4	- K. Benson
Division No. 5	- S. Campbell
Division No. 7	- M. Zeinstra

ABSENT:

Division No. 6	- T. White
----------------	------------

ADMINISTRATION PRESENT:

Acting Chief Administrative Officer	- T. Anderson
Director of Community Services	- L. Randle
Director of Corporate Services	- D. Urkow
Director of Municipal Services	- R. Bacon
Recording Secretary	- L. Megella

REEVE LORNE HICKEY IN THE CHAIR

CALL TO ORDER Reeve Hickey called the meeting to order at 10:09 a.m.

Note: S. Ashbee, Sunny South News present at 10:09 a.m.

AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA

Tracy Anderson, Acting Chief Administrative Officer and members of Council made the following additions and deletions to the March 3, 2016 agenda.

- E3. Village of Barons Reinstatement of Barons Fire Department – Report Component
- J5. LNID Re: Lateral H9/62H Rehabilitation Project #369-04 NW 01-11-21-W4
- K1. Legal Matter

95/16	J. WILLMS	MOVED that Council approve the agenda as amended.	CARRIED
-------	-----------	---	---------

CONFIRMATION **B1. Confirmation of Minutes**

96/16	S. CAMPBELL	MOVED that the February 18, 2016 Council Minutes be approved as presented.	CARRIED
-------	-------------	--	---------

APPOINTMENTS **E1. Canadian Award for Financial Reporting - Presentation to Corporate Services**

Reeve Hickey presented Brandi Doucette, Financial Assistant and Jennifer Place, Controller with the Canadian Award for Financial Reporting for the year ending December 31, 2014.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

- 97/16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that County Council accept the presentation to the Corporate Services Department for the Canadian Award for Financial Reporting for the year ending December 31, 2014 as information. CARRIED

MUNICIPAL SERVICES

F1. Fuel Trailer for Road Construction

- 98/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that County Council approve the purchase of a Meridian Fuel Express Trailer at a cost of \$17,100 with funds being utilized from the Equipment Replacement Reserve. MOTION DEFEATED

APPOINTMENTS E2. Alex Russell Re: Proposed Development Agreement

Reeve Hickey welcomed Mr. Alex Russell to the meeting at 10:28 a.m. Mr. Russell stated that he submitted a letter on February 1, 2016 outlining his plan to enter into a private development agreement with Lethbridge County to construct approximately 2.5 miles of roadway along the undeveloped portion of road allowance Range Road 21-4 south of Twp. Road 8-0 to a point approximately 0.5 miles south of Twp. Road 7-4.

- 99/16 K. BENSON MOVED that County Council receives item E2. Alex Russell Re: Proposed Development Agreement for information. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey thanked Mr. Russell for attending the meeting. Mr. Russell departed at 10:35 a.m.

E3. Village of Barons - Reinstatement of Barons Fire Department: Mayor, Administrator & Fire Chief

Reeve Hickey welcomed Mr. Ed Weistra, Mayor; Ms. Laurie Beck, Administrator and Mr. Byron Fraser, Fire Chief for the Village of Barons to the meeting at 10:36 a.m. The delegation is present to discuss with Council the possibility of the reinstatement of the Barons Fire Department.

- 100/16 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that County Council receives item E3. Village of Barons - Reinstatement of Barons Fire Department: Mayor, Administrator & Fire Chief for information and further that the Village of Barons Fire Department be included in the Emergency Services Regionalization concept discussion. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey thanked the delegation for attending the meeting. The delegation retired at 10:50 a.m.

MUNICIPAL SERVICES

F2. Hydraulic Wheel Lift for Compaction Rollers

- 101/16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that Council authorizes Administration to purchase an additional Hydraulic Wheel Lift Transport package for each (2) new compaction rollers; the additional cost of \$41,690 would be funded by the Equipment Replacement Reserve. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey recessed the meeting at 10:57 a.m.
The meeting reconvened at 11:02 a.m.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

APPOINTMENTS **E4. PUBLIC HEARING - Bylaw 1456 - 1614560 Alberta Ltd. (Western Red Cedar Building Materials) - Amendment to Land Use Bylaw - Direct Control, Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 0210532 Municipal: 221078 Twp Rd. 9-2**

102/16 M. ZEINSTRA MOVED that the Public Hearing for Bylaw 1456 - 1614560 Alberta Ltd. (Western Red Cedar Building Materials) - Amendment to Land Use Bylaw - Direct Control, Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 0210532 Municipal: 221078 Twp Rd. 9-2 open at 11:02 a.m. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey welcomed the delegation to the meeting. The delegation consisted of:

J. Fekete	C. Fekete
A. Bedesta	G. Boychuk
N. Watmough	P. Zmurchyk
D. Ponjavic	L. Chell
D. Mereksa	J. Van Schetherst
D. Ponjavic-Vornbrock	

Reeve Hickey declared the public hearing is being held pursuant to the Municipal Government Act as amended for Bylaw #1456.

Reeve Hickey invited Ms. Hilary Janzen, Development Officer/Senior Planner to give an overview of the application.

Ms. Janzen stated the following:

- An application has been made by 1614560 Alberta Ltd. to amend Land Use Bylaw 1404. The purpose of the amendment is to re-designate a 24 acre portion of Plan 0210532, Block 2, Lot 2 from Lethbridge Urban Fringe (LUF) to Direct Control (DC).
- The proposed re-designation located along Highway 25 at the intersection of Township Road 9-2 is approximately 0.85 miles north of the City of Lethbridge boundary. The lands have been used for agricultural purposes with evidence of corrals and farm buildings on the property.
- The purpose of the proposed Direct Control is to allow for a lumber yard facility and other limited commercial/light industrial uses. The uses included are: a lumber yard facility, building trades and contractor services, greenhouses and garden centres, mini-storage warehousing and indoor storage.
- The uses are all low-water and generally require larger tracts of land. Direct Control also addresses storm water management, road and access, landscaping and site grading, future subdivision of the parcel, the delegation of authority and approval procedures. Lethbridge County Council would be the approval authority for discretionary uses and the development authority for permitted uses.
- The proposed application is subject to the South Saskatchewan Regional Plan, the County's Municipal Development Plan, and the Lethbridge County/City Intermunicipal Development Plan. A review was undertaken to see if the application adhered to these statutory documents.
- South Saskatchewan Regional Plan
 - Sections 5 and 8 of the South Saskatchewan Regional Plan (SSRP) would apply to the proposed amendment. Section 5 is the efficient use of land, this section does not seek to stop development but to use and develop less land to accommodate people and businesses. This development is along a major highway corridor a portion of the area proposed for the rezoning already built as a farm yard with buildings, corrals, a dugout and related infrastructure to support that

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

development. In addition, the parcel itself has some agricultural lands but the majority is coulee lands and the soils are classified as 3T to 6T (to the east) and are moderately suitable for crop production. The parcel is also not irrigated which limits the productivity of the agricultural lands.

- The proposed amendment would use the lands efficiently for businesses that do not require servicing but are more land intensive (i.e. lumber yard, greenhouses and warehousing/indoor storage require little by way of servicing but require larger tracks of land) which is more suitable in a rural environment than a fully serviced urban environment. The proposed development also meets many of the principles for efficient use of land including using existing roadways and does not require any servicing to provide economic benefit to the County. In addition, the proposed development will not take prime agricultural lands out of production.
- The SSRP also speaks to the community development part of which is economic development and diversification of development in municipalities. The proposal meets the strategies of the part of the SSRP by providing a wide range of economic development opportunities, stimulate local employment growth and promote a healthy and stable economy.
- Lethbridge County/ City of Lethbridge Intermunicipal Development Plan
 - The County / City Intermunicipal Development Plan addresses commercial and industrial development in the Intermunicipal Development Plan area. Policy 5.1.20 states the following:
 - “ the county municipal development plan is encouraging commercial and industrial uses along highways but will discourage those uses in the first mile from the City boundary.”
 - The proposed amendment is 0.85 miles from the closest boundary of the City and as such is within a mile of the City boundary. It is for this reason that the Direct Control District is proposed instead of a conventional Industrial District. Direct Control limits the uses for the area to those requiring larger tracks of land and that would not require large amounts of water.
- Municipal Development Plan
 - The County’s Municipal Development Plan, Section 6.4, addresses commercial and industrial development within the County. Section 6.4.1.(k) looks at the considerations for the approval of industrial/commercial development:
 - County Council will consider the approval of industrial and/or commercial uses that are:
 - Agriculturally related (such as agricultural processing facilities and transfer facilities) and support the agricultural community.
 - Non-labour intensive industries which require relatively large areas of land, but require minimal on-site improvements, services, and public amenities.
 - Natural resource extractive uses such as gravel pits which are governed by the location of the specific natural resources and are compatible with existing land uses and do not emit offensive or noxious odours.
 - The proposed development meets the criteria as it includes only non-labour intensive industries that only require minimal on-site improvements, services, and public amenities. In addition the uses included in the Direct Control are compatible with the adjacent land uses and do not have any negative off-site impacts.
- Circulation Comments
 - The application was circulated the other County Departments, the City of Lethbridge, the Town of Coalhurst and external agencies for review and comment. Comments have been received from a number of external agencies, the City of Lethbridge, and the Town of Coalhurst.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

Concerns have been expressed by the Town of Coalhurst, the City of Lethbridge and Alberta Transportation. No other external agencies had concerns or objections to the proposed re-designation.

- The Town of Coalhurst has concerns with the potential increase in traffic at the Township Road 9-2 and Highway 25 intersection and requests that an Area Structure Plan be provided for their review.
- The City is opposed to the application as they feel it does not comply with the South Saskatchewan Regional Plan, the Lethbridge County/City of Lethbridge Intermunicipal Development Plan, the County's Municipal Development Plan and that it would be detrimental to the City. They indicate that the proposal is detrimental as it would create a mini-industrial park without the benefit of an Area Structure Plan and that the development will be detrimental to the operation of Highway 25. In the latest correspondence from the City they also requested that the dispute settlement process of the Intermunicipal Development Plan be followed and a meeting called of the Intermunicipal Committee prior to second reading of the bylaw.
- Alberta Transportation states that the proposal is premature and should be deferred until the Traffic Impact Assessment for the Highway 25/Township Road 9-2 is completed and an Area Structure Plan is completed.
- These comments/concerns were provided to the applicant. The applicant proceeded to revise the Direct Control in an effort to address some of those concerns, the applicant reduced the number of uses contained within the Direct Control, added provisions to complete a Traffic Impact Assessment upon any development or subdivision, and has limited the number of parcels to 3. The applicant was also made aware that if the re-designation was approved they would still have to meet any and all requirements from Alberta Transportation prior to any development or subdivision of the parcel. Such requirements may include a Traffic Impact Assessment, dedication of lands to the right-of-way, and improvements to the intersection (beyond those required for under the TIA for the Coalhurst Southeast Access Collector Road).
- Public Notice
 - The proposed re-designation was sent to the adjacent property owners and advertised in the February 9 and 16, 2016 editions of the Sunny South News. A number of residents have submitted letters or contacted the County that are both in favor and opposed to the proposed re-designation.
 - A letter of support was received from three property owners, although they wanted some assurance that the feedlot operation permit be removed and requested that the speed limit along Highway 25 be reduced to 80km/h 300 metres north and south of the proposed development.
 - A letter of opposition was received with concerns of the use of the property for industrial/commercial uses and increased traffic. The letter also stated a preference for residential uses in the area.
 - A letter of opposition was received with concerns regarding the loss of agricultural lands, the negative impact to the farming community, increased traffic, provisions of water and wastewater treatment.
 - An adjacent resident came into the County Office requesting additional information on the application and had concerns with regards to increased traffic at the Highway 25 and Township Road 9-2 intersection, but did not have concerns with the proposed use of the property.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

Reeve Hickey asked if Council had any questions of Ms. Janzen.

Council asked if there was a business currently on this property. Ms. Janzen indicated that it is a farm yard that is not in operation and the buildings are dilapidated.

Council asked about a retail business being allowed. Ms. Janzen noted that in the “building trades” use there is a retail component. This component has been removed. For this particular use, no retail would be permitted to reduce traffic to the area. The warehousing use would also not include a retail component. It would just be indoor storage facilities. Council questioned if this application would be similar to another business that has storage out at the Lethbridge Airport. Ms. Janzen replied yes, that is correct.

Council questioned the definition of a lumber yard. Ms. Janzen noted that lumber yard and building supply store means a commercial retail store where lumber, building materials, hardware and household accessories and other related goods are stored, offered or kept for sale and may include outdoor storage but does not include the manufacturing or fabrication of lumber or similar products.

Reeve Hickey asked if there were any further questions for Ms. Janzen. Council had no further questions at this time.

Reeve Hickey invited Mr. Steve Harty ORRSC Planner to give an overview of the application.

- Mr. Harty noted the application is Direct Control instead of Rural General Industrial.
- Concerns have been expressed because of the location to Highway 25 and the City of Lethbridge proximity.
- Because of the Direct Control rezoning, Council has the authority to “tailor-make” a decision on the application, meaning Council sets the standards for the application.
- It is recommended that Council table the public hearing in order to meet with the Intermunicipal Development Committee with City of Lethbridge. If the County does not meet with the City of Lethbridge, the City could file an appeal with the Municipal Government Board. In the current 2004 Intermunicipal Development Plan, it states that a dispute resolution could be enacted.
- If Council passes a resolution that the Public Hearing will be tabled to a future date, and a future public hearing date is selected, notifications do not have to be sent out again to adjacent landowners, businesses, etc.

Council asked if there is a time limit to re-schedule another public hearing. Mr. Harty noted that Council has a large window – one year.

Council asked if the area had been subdivided into 3 parcels. Mr. Harty indicated no, not yet. The land is in one title and the applicants are looking to rezone that parcel. If Council approves the Direct Control rezoning, the applicants would have the ability to subdivide the portion of the parcel under the Direct Control zoning into 3 smaller parcels.

Council noted that there have been several requests for an Area Structure Plan and a Traffic Impact Assessment. Mr. Harty noted that Council could ask for an Area Structure Plan and a Traffic Impact Assessment if they so wish.

Reeve Hickey asked if Council had any further questions. There were no other questions from Council.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone present wished to speak in opposition to Bylaw 1456. Mr. Norman Watmough addressed Council and noted the following:

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

- His family has been farming in the area since the mid 1920's and continue to enjoy the farm lifestyle and values that farming provides to the community.
- Mr. Watmough and his son are concerned about the recent proposal to turn an agricultural farm yard into 3 lots for a commercial business.
- Mr. Watmough raised the following questions: Is this the future of our farmland in our community, chopping our land up into small parcels for the profits of a developer? Isn't there already enough land surrounding Lethbridge that has been zoned for commercial businesses? If this subdivision is allowed on this parcel, does it set a precedent for other parcels to be divided?
- By renting and farming the land directly to the north of this proposed subdivision, we are concerned about what types of businesses that will be allowed and the impacts they may have on our ability to farm and graze livestock on the adjacent property.
- We are also concerned about the additional traffic that will be added to an already congested Highway 25. What next, another traffic light like the one that was added on Highway 3 to Coaldale? Is there enough water capacity to supply these businesses and what will be done with the wastewater from these businesses?
- We are of the opinion that the negative impacts of this subdivision far outweigh the positive. Once you start allowing people to divide up quarters into smaller and smaller parcels where do you stop?
- We know of one family that was forced to move off of their farm that they lived on for 75 years because they were not allowed to subdivide their house from a 130 acre parcel because it had already been subdivided once. It doesn't seem fair to allow this developer to keep subdividing this parcel into smaller pieces just because he is not a farmer.
- We believe that if this proposal is approved, our community and our land base will be changed forever. Therefore, we would like to express our opposition to the proposed Bylaw 1456.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone else wished to speak in opposition of Bylaw 1456. Ms. Darlene Ponjavic-Vornbrock addressed Council and noted the following:

- She is the owner of SE 15-9-22-W4 (Block 1, Lot 2) and is opposed to the proposed Bylaw 1456 involving the re-designation of a portion of Plan 021032, Block 2 to be subdivided into three parcels and developed for light industrial and commercial uses on the property of the SW 14-9-22-W4.
- With the proposed development of this property in the Lethbridge Urban Fringe District, her concern is that this action will mark the beginning of an industrial park along Highway 25, similar to the stretch of highway between Lethbridge and Coaldale and permanently determine all future decisions around land development in the area.
- With the Boychuk, Zmurchyk, Fiorino and Fekete properties being adjacent to the coulees, it would seem that these properties would be ideal for residential development, similar to that of West Lethbridge.
- As the City of Lethbridge moves north of Highway 3, it only seems logical that these farming properties would become the prime residential areas that landowners desire in order to live near to and have a view of the coulees. The development of an industrial park so close to the City will create an eyesore for what potentially could be a beautifully developed residential area, which I am in favor of.
- The proposed development of three businesses on this property will also bring significantly more traffic to an already dangerous stretch of highway. As people turn off of Highway 3 on to Highway 25, heading north to Picture Butte, the first 2 km of highway is extremely dangerous. There have been numerous accidents and even deaths along this stretch of highway,

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

particularly at the intersection of Highway 25 and heavily used Twp. Road 9-2 gravel road heading west to Coalhurst.

- The proposed parcels for light industrial and commercial uses will bring substantially more traffic to the same intersection with consumers turning east off of Highway 25. Bringing three businesses to the SW 14-9-22-W4 property will definitely increase traffic flow to an intersection that is not structurally designed to reduce the risk of accidents along the highway.
- With the future zoning yet to be determined in the intermunicipal development plan between Lethbridge County, Town of Coalhurst and City Lethbridge, please consider this zoning plan when making your final decision of the proposed bylaw.

Reeve Hickey asked if there was anyone else that wished to speak in opposition to Bylaw 1456. Mr. Art Bedesta addressed Council and asked how the traffic was going to be controlled. Mr. Bedesta noted that people already pass on a double line.

Reeve Hickey asked three times if there was anyone else wishing to speak in opposition to Bylaw 1456. Ms. Lori Chell addressed Council and noted that there is a lot traffic on the road and it is very fast. Ms. Chell further noted that it is very hard to turn off from this road.

Reeve Hickey noted that this was the last call for anyone wishing to speak in opposition of Bylaw 1456. No one came forward.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone wished to speak in favor of Bylaw 1456. Mr. Jake Van Schetherst addressed Council and noted the following:

- Their business is getting very large and needs to expand.
- They had this plan and property on the radar for 2 years.
- This business serves people from all over the province.
- It is a regional business and not a local business – low service and regional access with proximity to the City of Lethbridge.
- They are a local impact business, currently in the Town of Coalhurst.
- Traffic on any given day is 20 to 40 vehicles.
- The business would be set back from the Highway. Lot 3 would be the lumber yard which would have access further back into the property.
- Prairie Cedar is a specialized product and they only do cedar.
- This property is a more suitable location than being in the City, we are a rural type of business.

Reeve Hickey asked if there were any questions from Council. There were no questions of Council.

Reeve Hickey asked three times if anyone else wished to speak in favor of Bylaw 1456.

Ms. Carol Fekete asked Council what the other 2 properties would be used for in the subdivision. Reeve Hickey explained that Council was not dealing with the subdivision today it was only for rezoning of the 24 acres from Rural Industrial to Direct Control. Reeve Hickey further noted that there is no indication if the property will be subdivided. If Council approves the rezoning, Prairie Cedar would have zoning of Direct Council for the property. If the applicants wish to proceed further with the property, an application must come before Council for a decision.

Reeve Hickey asked if anyone else wished to speak or if there were any further questions. No one came forward and there were no further questions.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

- 103/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that County Council open the public hearing and hear those wishing to speak and subsequently table the public hearing of Bylaw 1456 - 1614560 Alberta Ltd. (Western Red Cedar Building Materials) until after the Intermunicipal Committee has had the opportunity to meet and discuss the Bylaw as per the City's request. Administration will bring the item back on April 21, 2016 at 11:00 a.m. to continue the public hearing portion of the Bylaw. CARRIED

Reeve Hickey thanked the delegation for attending the meeting. The delegation retired at 11:47 a.m.

- 104/16 M. ZEINSTRÄ MOVED the meeting recess for lunch at 11:50 a.m. CARRIED

The meeting reconvened at 1:26 p.m. with all members of Council present as previously stated.

E5. Leonard D. Fast, Chair, Coaldale & District Handi-Ride Association Funding Request

Reeve Hickey welcomed Mr. Leonard Fast and Mr. Jerry Virginillo of the Coaldale & District Handi-Ride Association to the meeting at 1:27 p.m. Mr. Fast and Mr. Virginillo made a presentation to Council regarding their request for Lethbridge County to: a) add their handi-cap buses to the County's fleet insurance and b) funds to repair the replacement bus.

Mr. Fast noted that their initial request to Council has since changed. The Coaldale & District Handi-Ride Association is now requesting insurance coverage for one (1) handi-bus along with fuel and maintenance costs. This request amounts to approximately \$9,500.

- 105/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that the Coaldale & District Handi-Ride Association provide a revised request to Administration and that the item be brought back to a future Council meeting. CARRIED

COMMUNITY SERVICES

G1. 2016 Community Planning Association of Alberta Conference – Sponsorship

- 106/16 K. BENSON MOVED that County Council sponsors the 2016 Community Planning Association Annual Conference in Red Deer scheduled for May 2-4, 2016 at the \$1,250 Gold Sponsorship level. CARRIED

G2. Village of Nobleford, Town of Picture Butte, Town of Coalhurst & Town of Coaldale – Request for a Council-to-Council Meeting regarding Emergency Services

- 107/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that the Reeve and Council meet with the Councils of the Village of Nobleford, Town of Picture Butte, Town of Coalhurst, Town of Coaldale and Village of Barons along with the Chief Administrative Officer or their designate, to discuss Emergency Services on March 30, 2016 from 7:00 to 9:00 p.m. at the Town of Coalhurst. Lethbridge County to prepare the agenda for the meeting. CARRIED

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

G3. Village of Barons Reinstatement of Barons Fire Department

- 108/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that County Council receives item G3. Village of Barons Reinstatement of the Barons Fire Department report as information. CARRIED

Note: S. Ashbee, Sunny South News retired at 2:31 p.m.

ADMINISTRATION**I1. Town of Picture Butte Council to Council Meeting Request**

Note: Deputy Reeve Doeve departed at 2:35 p.m.

- 109 /16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that County Council selects the dates of April 18th or April 25, 2016 from 5:00 – 7:00 p.m. for a Council-to-Council meeting with the Town of Picture in Picture Butte. CARRIED

INVITATIONS**J1. Consul-General of Japan and Mrs. Yoko Tamura Re: Farewell Reception, Friday, March 11, 2016 – Official Residence of the Consul-General of Japan, Calgary**

Note: Deputy Reeve Doeve present at 2:39 p.m.

- 110/16 K. BENSON MOVED that County Council authorize the Reeve and Councillor John Willms to attend the Farewell Reception scheduled for Friday, March 11, 2016 in Calgary at the Official Residence of the Consul-General of Japan. CARRIED

J2. 20th Independent Field Battery, RCA Re: Seventh Annual Vimy Mixed Special Guest Night – Saturday, April 9, 2016

- 111/16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that County Council authorize the Reeve and Councillor Ken Benson to attend the 20th Independent Field Battery, RCA - Seventh Annual Vimy Mixed Special Guest Night scheduled for April 9, 2016 at the Vimy Ridge Armoury. CARRIED

J3. 702 Wing Lethbridge Re: 67th Annual Charter Night Banquet – April 23, 2016, Royal Canadian Legion General Stewart Branch #4, North Entrance

- 112/16 M. ZEINSTRAS MOVED that County Council authorizes the Reeve to attend the 702 Wing Lethbridge's 67th Annual Charter Banquet scheduled for Saturday, April 23, 2016 at the Royal Canadian Legion General Stewart Branch #4 (north entrance). CARRIED

J4. University of Lethbridge Faculty of Management 2016 Scholarship Dinner – April 14, 2016, Coast Lethbridge Hotel & Conference Centre

- 113/16 H. DOEVE MOVED that County Council authorizes the Reeve to attend the University of Lethbridge Faculty of Management 2016 Scholarship Dinner honoring Marvin & Chloe Galts scheduled for April 14, 2016 at the Coast Lethbridge Hotel & Conference Centre. CARRIED

J5. LNID Re: Lateral H9/62H Rehabilitation Project #369-04 NW 01-11-21-W4

- 114/16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that County Council directs Administration to attend the LNID Lateral H9/62H Rehabilitation Project information session scheduled for Tuesday, March 15, 2016 on behalf of Council. CARRIED

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer

IN-CAMERA

K1. Legal Matter

- 115/16 K. BENSON MOVED that Council go In-Camera at 2:50 p.m. CARRIED
- 116/16 S. CAMPBELL MOVED that Council come out of In-Camera at 3:20 p.m.
CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT

- 117/16 K. BENSON MOVED the meeting adjourn at 3:23 p.m.

Reeve

Chief Administrative Officer